

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 2187		
09/950,041	09/10/2001	Gerard T. Hardiman	DX0724XK1			
28008 7	7590 10/18/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
DNAX RESEARCH, INC. LEGAL DEPARTMENT			HAMUD,	HAMUD, FOZIA M		
901 CALIFORNIA AVENUE PALO ALTO, CA 94304			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1647			

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.		Applicant(s)			
Interview Summary	09/950,041	0,041 HARDIMAN		AL.		
mention Cummary	Examiner		Art Unit			
	Fozia M Hamud		1647			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>Fozia M Hamud</u> . (3) <u>Anhony Caputa (Practice specialist)</u> .						
(2) <u>Brenda Brumback (SPE)</u> .	(4) <u>Mike Biro (a</u>	ttorney).				
Date of Interview: <u>14 October 2004</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2)∏ applicant's re _l	oresentative	l			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.			`		
Claim(s) discussed: The rejection of claims 1, 23-26, made	e under 101/112 fir:	st pargraph.				
Identification of prior art discussed:						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. ♀	g)∏ was not reach	ed. h)⊠ N⁄	'A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendn	xaminer agronents that we	eed would rend ould render the	der the claims claims		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse s	last Office action I THE MAILING DA OF THE SUBSTAN	nas already l TE OF THIS NCE OF THE	been filed, APF	PLICANT IS SUMMARY		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Exan	niner's signa	ture, if required			

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed.
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants inquired whether the assertion that the claimed invention can be used to diagnose immunological disorders and later showing two specific diseases in which mRNA is elevated compared to normal samples, is sufficient to overcome the rejection of claims made under 101/112. Applicants pointed to page 45, lines 11-30 of the specification for support. Page 45, lines 11-30, of the specification asserts that oligonucleotides or polynucleotides sequences can be used to diagnose immunological diseases. However, there is no disclosure that the polypeptide can be used as such. Applicants were informed that evidence demonstrating that the polynucleotide is elevated in several diverse immunological disorders might be sufficient to afford the polynuceotide a specific and substantial utility. However, showing that mRNA levels are elevated in immunological disorders does not afford the claimed polypeptide a specific and substantial utility, because it does not always follow that the protein levels are also amplified. For example, Pennica et al, (1998, PNAS USA 95:14717-14722) discloses that, "An analysis of WISP-1 gene amplification in human colon tumors showed a correlation between DNA amplification and over expression, whereas, over expression of WISP-3 RNA was seen in the absence of DNA amplification. In contract, WISP-2 DNA was amplified in the colon tumors, but mRNA expression was significantly reduced in the majority of tumors compared with the expression in normal colonic mucosa from the same patient", see page 14722, second paragraph of column 1; pages 14720-14721. Therefore, the protein levels cannot be accurately predicted from the level of the corresponding gene. Other researchers have also demonstrated a lack of correlation between gene amplification and protein expression. For example, Haynes et al (1998, Electrophoresis, Vol. 19, pages 1862-1871), studied more than 80 proteins which were relatively homogenous in half-life and expression, and found no strong correlation between protein and transcript level. Haynes et al showed that for some genes, equivalent mRNA levels translated into protein abundances, which varied more than 50-fold. Haynes et al concluded that the protein cannot be accurately predicted from the level of the corresponding mRNA transcript, (see page 1863, second paragraph and Figure 1). See also, Konopka et al (Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci, 1986, vol.83, pages 4049-4052), who state that "protein expression is not related to amplification of the abl gene but to variation in the level of a bcr-abl mRNA produced from a single phl template", see abstract.

Advisory Information:

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fozia M Hamud whose telephone number is (571) 272-0884. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Thursday-Friday, 6:00 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda G Brumback can be reached on (571) 272-0961. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Fozia Hamud Patent Examiner Art Unit 1647 15 October 2004

pona Hamad